



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/184,738	11/02/1998	ROBERT M. MORRIS	3042-3	4609

7590 12/06/2001

LAURENCE A WEINBERGER
SUITE 103
882 S MATLACK ST
P O BOX1663
WEST CHESTER, PA 193800053

EXAMINER

NGUYEN BA, HOANG VU A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2122

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/184,738

Applicant(s)
Morris et al.

Examiner
Hoang-Vu Antony Nguyen-Ba

Art Unit
2122



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 27, 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-54 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 16) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 17) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____
- 18) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 19) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 20) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This action is in responsive to the facsimile received November 27, 2001, referring to a phone message, dated July 17, 2001, from the examiner to Applicants' Representatives, confirming that the instant application had priority over the cited art and that the rejection made in the Office action dated June 6, 2001 is hereby withdrawn. A new non-final Office action is herein issued. In view of the fact that Applicants' Representative has informed the examiner of the non-applicability of the prior art before the due date of response to the June 6, 2001 Office action and subsequently has to wait for a new Office action, Applicants do not have a 6-month statutory period running and would not incur any extension fees to file a response to this new non-final Office action.

2. Claims 1-54 have been examined.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 23, 25, 26, 28, 31, 35, 39, 50, 52, and 53 are objected to because of the following informality: the word "programatic" is mis-spelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2122

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 1-3, 17, 18, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 52, 53, and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The following terms lack proper antecedent basis:

- a. “the objects” in claims 1, 3, 17-19, 23, 26, 29, 44-46, and 54;
- b. “the program structure” in claims 2 and 29;
- c. “the four different representations” in claims 2 and 29;
- d. “the user” in claims 2 and 29;
- e. “those objects” in claim 3;
- f. “the displayed functionalities” in claims 20, 21, 47, and 48;
- g. “the system” in claims 20 and 21;
- h. “the choice of objects” in claims 20, 21, 47, and 48;
- I. “the manner of their implementation” in claims 20, 21, 47, and 48;
- j. “the values of properties and connecting events” in claims 22 and 49;

Art Unit: 2122

- k. “the settings and connections” in claim 22;
- l. “the property values and event connections” in claims 22 and 49;
- m. “the execution of the objects” in claims 23, 27, 50 and 54;
- n. “the execution scheduling” in claims 25 and 52;
- o. “the temporal relationship” in claims 26 and 53;
- p. “the function of programming constructs” in claims 27 and 54;
- r. “the application” in claims 27 and 54;
- s. “the depiction of the objects” in claim 30;
- t. “the depiction of the object” in claim 30;
- u. “the work of programming constructs” in claims 43 and 46;
- v. “the run time execution of the objects” in claim 52.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 2122

7. Claims 1-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith, Methods and Interface for Building Command Expressions in a Computer System, U.S. Patent No. 5,485,618, 01/1996 (Filing Date: 12/1993), 717/1.

Per claims 1 and 28, Smith discloses at least:

means for wrapping standardized objects with additional properties and events beyond those properties and events provided in the standardized object (see at least column 3, lines 32-37; column 4, lines 8-19 and related discussion elsewhere in the specification); and
means for utilizing the additional properties and events to link and sequence the objects into the application (see at least column 4, lines 21-61 and related discussion elsewhere in the specification).

Per claims 2 and 29, Smith discloses at least:

means for simultaneously displaying different representations of the program structure (see at least Figure 4E and related discussion in the specification); and
means for manipulating the program structure within each of the four different representations; wherein the representations of the program structure may be synchronized among displays at the election of the user (see at least Figure 2C and related discussion in the specification).

Art Unit: 2122

Per claims 3 and 30, Smith further discloses *a means for highlighting the depiction of the objects in the representations as those objects are being realized during application development playback preview* (see at least Figure 4C, block 432 and related discussion in the specification).

Per claims 4, 8, 12, 31, 35, and 39, Smith discloses at least:

a development environment and a playback environment that have no logical operators (see at least Figure 2B and related discussion in the specification); *and*

means for utilizing, by specifying property values, standard objects (see at least 2A and related discussion in the specification).

Per claims 5, 9, 13, 32, 36, and 40, Smith further discloses *a means for communicating among standard objects through the exchange of property values* (see at least Figure 4D and related discussion in the specification).

Per claims 6, 10, 14, 33, 37, and 41, Smith further discloses *a means for communicating among standard objects wherein an event generated by an object triggers an instance of another object* (see at least Figure 4E and related discussion in the specification).

Per claims 7, 11, 15, 34, 38, and 42, Smith further discloses *a means for communicating among standard objects wherein an event generated by an object triggers an instance of another object* (see at least Figure 4E and related discussion in the specification).

Art Unit: 2122

Per claims 16 and 43, Smith further discloses *a means for adding additional programming constructs by employing standard objects that perform the work of programming constructs wherein unlimited expansion of program capabilities is achieved* (see at least Figure 4A and related discussion in the specification).

Per claims 17, 18, 19, 44, 45, and 46, Smith discloses at least:

a run time program that has no logical operators (see at least Figure 2B and related discussion in the specification); *and*

means for utilizing standard objects by identifying the objects and specifying property values (see at least Figure 2C and related discussion in the specification);

Per claims 20, 21, 47, and 48, Smith discloses at least:

means for instantiating objects (see at least Figure 4A and related discussion in the specification);

means for integrating objects (see at least Figure 2C and related discussion in the specification);

means for sequencing objects (see at least Figure 2B and related discussion in the specification);

means for providing communication among objects (see at least Figure 2C and related discussion in the specification); *and*

Art Unit: 2122

means for synchronizing views wherein the displayed functionalities performed by the system during execution are determined by the choice of objects use and the manner of their implementation in the system (see at least Figure 4C and related discussion in the specification).

Per claims 22 and 49, Smith discloses at least:

means for setting the values of properties and connecting events (see at least Figure 2C and related discussion in the specification);

means for recording and maintaining a history of properties settings and event connections as the settings and connections are changed (see at least Figure 2A and related discussion in the specification); *and*

means for traversing the history one change at a time wherein the property values and event connections may be edited from any point in the history (see at least Figure 2A and related discussion in the specification).

Per claims 23 and 50, Smith discloses at least:

means for wrapping standardized objects with additional properties and events beyond those properties and events provided in the standardized object (see at least column 3, lines 32-37; column 4, lines 8-19 and related discussion elsewhere in the specification);

Art Unit: 2122

means for utilizing the additional properties and events to link and sequence the objects (see at least column 4, lines 21-61 and related discussion elsewhere in the specification); *and*

means for reading one or more sets of property values maintained separately from the run time system and the objects wherein the execution of the objects is governed by the property values (see at least Figure 2B and related discussion in the specification).

Per claims 24 and 51, Smith further discloses *means for adding programming constructs and sub-languages utilizing objects* (see at least Figure 4A and related discussion in the specification).

Per claims 25, 52, and 54, Smith discloses at least:

means for wrapping standardized objects with additional properties and events beyond those properties and events provided in the standardized objects (see at least column 3, lines 32-37; column 4, lines 8-19 and related discussion elsewhere in the specification);

means for utilizing the additional properties and events to link and sequence the objects (see at least column 4, lines 21-61 and related discussion elsewhere in the specification);

means for specifying property values (see at least Figure 2C and related discussion in the specification); *and*

Art Unit: 2122

means for saving the property values to a separate file wherein the run time execution of the objects is determined by the property values (see at least Figure 2B and related discussion in the specification).

Per claims 26, 27, and 53, Smith discloses at least:

means for wrapping standardized objects with additional properties and events beyond those properties and events provided in the standardized object (see at least column 3, lines 32-37; column 4, lines 8-19 and related discussion elsewhere in the specification);

means for utilizing the additional properties and events to link and sequence the objects (see at least column 4, lines 21-61 and related discussion elsewhere in the specification); and

means for specifying the temporal relationship among standard objects by placing the objects on one or more time lines wherein execution of the objects occurs at least partially concurrently and during which property values may be exchanged among the objects and events may be initiated (see at least Figure 2A, "Reports", and related discussion in the specification).

Art Unit: 2122

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

See PTO-892 form (paper no. 2) mailed with the previous non-final Office action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Antony Nguyen-Ba, whose telephone number is (703) 305-0103. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM-6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark R. Powell, can be reached at (703) 305-9703.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Hoang-Vu Antony Nguyen-Ba

November 30, 2001



Anil Khatri, Ph.D.
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2122